Fear-Avoidance Following Musculoskeletal Injury in Male Adolescent
 Gaelic Footballers

Abstract

4 **Context:** Gaelic football participation provides a wealth of benefits but a risk of 5 musculoskeletal injury also exists. Injury is associated with physical consequences, including 6 pain, discomfort, loss of function, time absent from school/sport, considerable medical 7 expenses along with placing undue pressure on emergency services and hospital staff. 8 Concurrent psychological consequences, such as fear-avoidance, can also occur causing 9 psychological distress. There is a current dearth of available research examining the 10 psychology of injury in male adolescent Gaelic footballers.

11 **Objective:** To examine fear-avoidance post-injury in male adolescent Gaelic footballers, the 12 effect of pain, time-loss, injury severity and previous injury on the extent of fear-avoidance 13 and the usefulness of a modified Athlete Fear Avoidance Questionnaire (mAFAQ) as a 14 screening tool for predicting injury.

15 **Design:** Prospective cohort study.

16 **Setting:** Recreational clubs.

17 **Participants:** 97 male adolescent club Gaelic footballers (13.4±1.1 years).

Interventions: Musculoskeletal injuries sustained during Gaelic football participation, defined as any injury sustained during training or competition causing restricted performance or time lost from play,¹ were assessed and recorded weekly by a Certified Athletic and Rehabilitation Therapist. Injuries requiring time loss from participation were classed as time-loss injuries. Injury characteristics that included type, nature, location, severity and pain were recorded.

Main Outcome Measures: Injured players completed the Athlete Fear Avoidance
Questionnaire (AFAQ), a measure of injury-related fear-avoidance following injury
assessment (AFAQ1). With time-loss injuries, the AFAQ was completed again (AFAQ2) prior
to return to play. mAFAQ was completed at baseline.

3

Results: Twenty-two injuries were recorded during the season with fear-avoidance evident
post-injury that significantly decreased before returning to play. Fear-avoidance post-injury
was higher in those with greater pain but time-loss, injury severity and previous injury did not
significantly affect the extent of fear-avoidance. Baseline fear-avoidance did not predict injury. **Conclusions:** Psychological rehabilitation is recommended for managing post-injury
psychological distress in male adolescent Gaelic footballers.

33

34 Keywords

35 Psychological distress, Gaelic games, teenage, boys, injuries

Introduction

The Gaelic Athletic Association plays an important role in the physical activity practices of 37 Irish society with Gaelic football recognized as the most popular club sport for adolescent 38 males.² Gaelic football is a high-intensity, high-velocity contact game that requires large 39 volumes of strength, endurance, flexibility and speed³ where the primary aim of the game is to 40 outscore the opposing team.⁴ Matches last up to 60 minutes in duration in adolescents¹ and 41 players may be involved with club, school and county teams simultaneously. Gaelic football 42 participation is associated with an inherent risk of musculoskeletal injury.⁵ Musculoskeletal 43 44 injuries are defined as injuries resulting from direct trauma or overuse sustained during sports participation.⁶ Injuries are common in male adolescent Gaelic footballers. Recent research 45 reported one-third of all players sustain an injury over one year and almost half of injured 46 participants suffer a subsequent injury.¹ 47

Musculoskeletal injury can elicit negative emotional responses that stimulate feelings of 48 depression, anxiety, low vigor, fatigue, grief and burnout, with depression and anger also 49 negatively affecting wound healing.⁷ Cognitive appraisal of the injury situation and the 50 psychological response to injury is subjective to each athlete,⁸⁻¹⁰ where one athlete may 51 perceive their injury situation to be more stressful than a teammate's perception of a similar 52 situation. Although negative emotional post-injury responses, like frustration, mild depression 53 and irritability may be normal,¹¹ it is estimated that 10% to 20% of athletes report extreme 54 post-injury responses, including clinical levels of depression, low self-esteem and suicidal 55 ideation, indicating the need for clinical referral.⁷ Concern is warranted when the psychological 56 responses are excessive, do not resolve, exacerbate over time, or the athlete is unable to cope.¹² 57 Injured athletes report depression symptoms that are similar to levels of depression reported by 58 patients receiving outpatient medical treatment for mental health issues,¹³ which highlights the 59 extent of psychological distress post-injury. Research to date has shown that elite male Gaelic 60

footballers, who have sustained one or more severe musculoskeletal injuries during their career,
increase their chances of experiencing symptoms of psychological distress compared to those
who had not suffered severe musculoskeletal injuries during their career.¹⁶ In addition, history
of injury results in an increased risk of re-injury.¹⁴⁻¹⁵

The importance of psychological rehabilitation in conjunction with physical rehabilitation is 65 becoming increasingly recognised as a necessity for holistic recovery from injury. According 66 to the Integrated Model of Response to Sport Injury, the psychological reaction to injury is 67 dependent upon situational and personal factors along with differing behavioral and emotional 68 responses to an injury situation.¹⁷ Fear-avoidance, defined as the avoidance of movements or 69 activities based on fear, is a psychological reaction to injury that can influence the experience 70 of pain¹⁸ and subsequently lead to dysfunction,¹⁹ which may hinder recovery and rehabilitation 71 following injury. Musculoskeletal injury can elicit pain-related fear-avoidance behavioural 72 responses, which stimulate either a confrontation or avoidance approach in the injured player.¹⁸ 73 With confrontation, athletes maintain engagement in physical activity through rehabilitation 74 and involvement in the team environment where functional recovery is promoted.²⁰ In contrast, 75 dysfunctional interpretations of pain escalate pain-related fear, forcing the athlete to adopt 76 safety-seeking behaviours of avoidance.²⁰ These avoidance behaviours can reinforce mood 77 disturbances, such as irritability, frustration and depression.¹⁸ 78

Fear-avoidance has predominantly been measured to date in patients from the general population with chronic low back pain or those who have undergone anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction utilising the Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia, Pain Catastrophizing Scale and the Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire.²¹⁻²³ However, these questionnaires have not been developed primarily for use with athletes or have not been validated in physically active cohorts. The Athlete Fear-Avoidance Questionnaire (AFAQ) is a measure of sports injuryrelated fear-avoidance developed specifically for use with athletes.¹⁹ Athletes are viewed as having different mental traits to the general population due to their greater reliance on sport
and physical activity and thus, require a unique questionnaire.¹⁹ AFAQ is a valid tool for
measuring fear-avoidance in athletes and can be easily administered efficiently in a short period
of time.¹⁹

Returning a player to sport without the necessary psychological capacity can lead to fear, 90 anxiety, re-injury, injury to other parts of the body, depression or an overall decline in 91 performance.²⁴ The implementation of psychological interventions post-injury can moderate 92 any dysfunctional beliefs that may hinder the rehabilitation phase⁸ and can facilitate recovery. 93 However, in order for sports medicine clinicians to facilitate rehabilitation using psychological 94 interventions, an adequate understanding of the psychological processes involved with injury 95 is essential^{8, 25} and the extent of psychological distress experienced by male adolescent Gaelic 96 footballers needs to be understood. No research to date has examined fear-avoidance 97 behaviours in the Gaelic football population and the effect of associated injury characteristics 98 on fear-avoidance. Examining the psychological effect of injury in adolescent Gaelic 99 footballers is crucial as younger athletes under the age of 18 years are at an increased risk of 100 experiencing injury-related psychological distress.²⁶ Managing the psychological response to 101 injury in the adolescent years may teach the young player how to manage the psychological 102 symptoms associated with athletic injury when they progress into adult level Gaelic football, 103 allowing for longer and more successful sports participation. Thus, this study aimed to establish 104 (i) the extent of fear-avoidance post-injury in male adolescent Gaelic footballers (ii) the effect 105 of pain and days lost from Gaelic football participation on fear-avoidance experienced, (iii) if 106 injury severity and previous injury predict fear-avoidance, and (iv) if a modified version of 107 AFAQ completed at baseline is a useful screening tool in predicting injury. 108

Methods

110 **Participants**

111 Ninety-seven male adolescent Gaelic football players $(13.4 \pm 1.1 \text{ years})$ that played at under-112 14 (n=66) and under-16 (n=31) were recruited from three local Irish Gaelic football clubs. 113 Participants had been playing Gaelic football for 6.2 ± 2.1 years. Ethical approval was granted 114 by the institutes Research Ethics Committee and parental/guardian consent and participant 115 assent was gained prior to the study beginning.

116 *Measures*

The validated Athlete Fear Avoidance Questionnaire (AFAQ)¹⁹ is composed of ten statements 117 detailing an athlete's post-injury fear-avoidance thoughts and feelings (Table 1). Each 118 statement is rated on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (not at all) to 5 (completely agree) and 119 summed to give a total fear-avoidance score. The total score ranges from 10 to 50, with a 120 greater overall AFAQ score indicating greater fear-avoidance. AFAQ showed significant 121 correlations with previously validated catastrophizing and fear-avoidance assessment tools, 122 indicating validity of the measure.¹⁹ The AFAQ was modified (mAFAQ) by authors to create 123 a screening tool for fear-avoidance (Table 2). Each of the ten statements were adapted by 124 adding 'If I was injured' in order to measure injury-related fear-avoidance that a player expects 125 they would experience if they became injured. The mAFAQ was ranked and scored the same 126 as the original AFAQ. A pilot study was conducted in recreational athletes from a variety of 127 sports (n=120; 20.1 \pm 3.9 years) to examine the psychometric properties of the mAFAQ. 128 Internal consistency was evident with Cronbach α coefficient of 0.733, indicating high 129 reliability.²⁸ Construct validity was determined by factor analysis, which identified eigenvalues 130 131 >1 for 3 items of the mAFAQ, explaining a cumulative percentage variance of 57.2%. However, the first item accounted for 30.7% of the variance, indicating the mAFAQ is a one-132 dimensional scale. The original AFAQ was also identified as being a one-dimensional scale 133

109

and the findings suggest both questionnaires measure different traits of the fear-avoidance
 model, including fear-avoidance beliefs, kinesiophobia and catastrophizing.¹⁹ The results
 identify mAFAQ as a valid and reliable measure of baseline fear-avoidance.

A standardized injury report form¹ was utilized to record injuries that occurred during the season. The characteristics of injury, including injury type, nature, location, severity and associated pain both at the time of sustaining the injury and at the time of injury assessment were documented. Pain was recorded using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) 0 to 10 scale, which is a valid method for measuring pain.²⁹ Injury severity was defined according to number of days missed from participation; minor (<7 days), moderate (7-21 days) or severe (>21 days).¹

144

145

[Insert Table 1]

[Insert Table 2]

146 *Procedure*

An injury history questionnaire documenting injuries sustained in the previous 12 months and their characteristics was completed at the beginning of the season. Injury history was limited to the previous 12 months to minimize recall errors associated with the collection of retrospective injury data.³⁰ Participants also completed the mAFAQ to screen for beginning of the season fear-avoidance.

Any participant who sustained an injury during the season $(15.2 \pm 8.9 \text{ weeks duration})$ reported to the Certified Athletic and Rehabilitation Therapist present at weekly training sessions for an injury assessment. Injuries, recorded using the standardized injury report form,¹ were defined as any injury sustained during training or competition resulting in restricted performance or time lost from play.¹ Injuries that required the participant to miss time from Gaelic football participation were classed as time-loss injuries, whereas non-time-loss injuries did not require the participant to miss participation from Gaelic football. Immediately following the injury assessment, the injured participant completed the AFAQ, ¹⁹ which will be termed the AFAQ1
for the purpose of clarity in this paper. Those who sustained a time-loss injury completed the
AFAQ a second time immediately before their first training or match when returning to play,
termed the AFAQ2.

163 Data Analysis

Data was analysed using IBM SPSS version 24 (IBM, New York, USA). Normality was 164 examined using Shapiro-Wilks test, which identified normally distributed data with a 165 significance value greater than 0.05. The mean and standard deviation were calculated for the 166 score of each individual statement and overall mAFAQ, AFAQ1 and AFAQ2 scores. 167 Independent samples T-test compared the difference between AFAQ1 scores for time-loss and 168 non-time-loss injuries. Paired samples T-tests compared AFAQ1 and AFAQ2 scores in those 169 170 who sustained a time-loss injury and mAFAQ and AFAQ1 scores in participants who sustained an injury during the season. Effect sizes for T-tests, calculated using eta squared, were 171 determined according to Cohens' classification; small=0.01, moderate=0.06 and large=0.14.31 172 Pearson correlations identified the relationship between (i) mAFAQ, AFAQ1, AFAQ2 and 173 time-loss from Gaelic football participation and (ii) AFAQ1, AFAQ2 and VAS pain rating and 174 were interpreted using the following classifications: 0.00-0.19=very weak, 0.20-0.39=weak, 175 0.40-0.59=moderate, 0.60-0.79=strong and 0.80-1.00=very strong.³² Multiple regression 176 analysis was performed to determine if AFAQ1 scores could be predicted by injury severity 177 and injury history in the previous 12 months. Multicollinearity of the multiple regression 178 analysis was first examined by inspecting the correlation coefficients and variance inflation 179 factors (VIFs), with high correlation (r>0.9) and VIF (>10) indicating multicollinearity. No 180 multicollinearity was noted. Adjusted R square, which explains how much of the variance in 181 the dependent variable is explained by the model, was utilized to explain the variance in the 182 outcome variable. Adjusted R square was utilised for its increased accuracy over R square, 183

which tends to be an optimistic overestimation of the true value in the population.³³ Logistic regression was conducted to analyse if total mAFAQ score predicts injury, with the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval examined. An OR value greater than one indicated an increased risk of injury. A significance level of 0.05 was set for all statistical tests ($p \le 0.05$).

Results

188

Twenty-two injuries were recorded over the season, four of which resulted in time-loss from 189 play, with 18 non-time-loss injuries. The nature of injuries that required time-loss were 190 191 ligament sprains (n=3) and tendinopathies (n=1). Injury history identified that 54.6% of participants sustained an injury in the previous 12 months, with 21.6% reporting two or more 192 injuries. Hamstring (22.6%) and ankle (18.9%) were the most commonly injured body parts, 193 with injuries predominantly occurring to muscle (39.6%), ligament (26.4%) and bone (24.5%). 194 The average mAFAQ score for all participants at baseline was 23.32 ± 6.01 (Table 3). Average 195 196 AFAQ1 and AFAQ2 scores for time-loss and non-time-loss injuries are presented in Table 4. No significant differences were evident between average AFAQ1 scores for time-loss (26.75 197 \pm 4.92) and non-time-loss injuries (21.00 \pm 7.15) (t(20)=1.52; P>0.05; η^2 =0.10). However, 198 AFAQ1 scores (26.75 \pm 4.92) were statistically greater than AFAQ2 scores (14.25 \pm 4.92) in 199 those who sustained a time-loss injury, with a large effect size (t(3)=5.64; P=0.011; $\eta^2=0.91$). 200 In addition, there was no significant difference between mAFAQ and AFAQ1 in those who 201 sustained an injury during the season (t(21)=1.503; P>0.05; $\eta^2=0.10$). No significant 202 relationships were evident between mAFAQ, AFAQ1, AFAQ2 or days lost from Gaelic 203 football participation (r= 0.014 to 0.595; P>0.05). Significant moderate correlations were 204 evident between AFAQ1 and VAS at the time of injury (r= 0.563; P=0.006) and between 205 AFAQ1 and VAS at the time of injury assessment (r= 0.596; P=0.003). No significant 206 207 correlations were evident between AFAQ2 and VAS pain rating (r= -0.160 to -0.336; P>0.05). Multiple regression analysis identified that injury severity and previous injury explain 8.1% of 208 the variance in AFAQ1 scores in those who sustained an injury during the season, however, 209 the model was not found to be statistically significant ($F_{2,19} = 1.93$; P > 0.05; $R^2 = 0.081$). Injury 210 severity (β = 0.24; t= 1.13; P>0.05) and previous injury (β = -0.28; t= 1.13; P>0.05) when 211 examined individually did not contribute significantly to the model. Baseline fear-avoidance 212

- 213 was not a significant predictor of injury explaining 0.6% to 0.9% of the variance (P>0.05).
- However, the odds of sustaining an injury was slightly higher for those with higher baseline
- 215 fear-avoidance (OR=1.03; 95% CI=0.95-1.12; P>0.05).
- 216 [Insert Table 3]
- 217 [Insert Table 4]

218

Discussion

This study aimed to establish the extent of fear-avoidance post-injury in male adolescent Gaelic footballers, the effect of pain, days lost from Gaelic football participation, injury severity and previous injury on the amount of fear-avoidance reported and the usefulness of a modified AFAQ as a screening tool for predicting injury.

223 Fear-avoidance post-injury

The average AFAQ score reported in this study (22.1 ± 7.1) is similar to that of a sample of 224 currently injured and previously injured collegiate athletes (n=103) from a variety of sports 225 $(23.7 \pm 7.0)^{19}$ and a sample of adults (n=102; 25 ± 8.5 years) with a sports-related injury (26.0 226 \pm 8.0).³⁴ Despite age differences between the adolescent, collegiate and adult participants, 227 similar fear-avoidance is evident, outlining that adolescent Gaelic footballers experience 228 229 psychological distress levels comparable to their adult counterparts. No research to date has identified fear-avoidance in Gaelic footballers, therefore, comparisons to other Gaelic football 230 populations are unable to be completed. Nevertheless, there is a clear necessity for 231 psychological intervention programs following injury in those that display fear-avoidance. 232

233 Fear-avoidance and pain

Fear-avoidance post-injury was higher in those with greater pain scores as measured by the 234 VAS scale. Similar findings were found between pain and fear-avoidance in adults with a 235 sports-related injury,³⁴ in physically active individuals with osteoarthritis³⁵ and patients with 236 acute³⁶ and chronic low back pain.³⁷ These results support the fact that pain tolerance is a 237 moderator of the psychological response to injury¹⁷ and has significant physical and 238 psychological effects on recovery.³⁸ In contrast, fear-avoidance has been defined as the fear of 239 pain in chronic low back pain literature to date.^{23, 39} The lack of a significant relationship 240 between fear-avoidance prior to return to play and VAS pain ratings, which indicates that pain 241 experienced when the injury was sustained does not relate to fear-avoidance prior to return to 242

play, highlights that this definition of fear-avoidance may not be appropriate in a highfunctioning, physically active population. If fear-avoidance was solely to describe a fear of pain, an association between fear-avoidance and pain would be anticipated at any point following injury, particularly at a point of return to play post-injury. Fear-avoidance in injured athletes may instead be associated with the greater injury experience and the avoidance of movements or activities based on fear¹⁸ and the negative emotional response to injury that stimulate feelings of depression, anxiety, low vigor, fatigue, grief and burnout.⁷

250 Fear-avoidance, time-loss and injury severity

251 Similar fear-avoidance was identified for participants who sustained time-loss and non-timeloss injuries, which suggests the duration of time loss from Gaelic football participation does 252 253 not affect the extent of fear-avoidance. In addition, no significant relationships were noted 254 between the duration of time-loss from Gaelic football participation and fear-avoidance at baseline, post-injury and prior to return to play. However, this finding conflicts with previous 255 research that identified time loss duration as a moderator of the psychological response to 256 injury.¹⁷ Time loss duration may not be a moderating factor in the current study due to the low 257 number of injuries that required missed participation from Gaelic football. Current injury 258 severity (i.e. minor, moderate or severe based on the number of days lost from Gaelic football 259 participation) was also not a significant predictor of fear-avoidance post-injury, despite 260 previous research identifying that more severely injured athletes experience greater mood 261 262 disturbances following injury when compared to those who suffer moderate to acute injuries.⁸ Most injuries in the current study were minor in nature requiring less than 7 days absence from 263 Gaelic football participation and only four time-loss injuries were noted, which may have 264 265 impacted this finding. The lack of significant difference between fear-avoidance in participants who sustained time-loss and non-time-loss injuries and the lack of interaction between injury 266 severity, days lost from Gaelic football participation and fear-avoidance could be attributed to 267

the prevalence of male adolescent Gaelic footballers who continue to play through injury.¹ Playing through injury results in no time-loss from Gaelic football participation, thus meaning time-loss may not affect fear-avoidance in this youth sample of the population. In addition, fear-avoidance was measured following the injury assessment where participants were aware of the nature of their injury but the extent of time loss from Gaelic football participation was not clear at that time.

274 Baseline fear-avoidance

Fear-avoidance was evident at the beginning of the season, but greater fear-avoidance did not 275 276 increase the likelihood of sustaining an injury over one season. Similarly, fear-avoidance following injury was not significantly greater then baseline fear-avoidance and previous injury 277 did not predict fear-avoidance post-injury. To our knowledge, no research to date has identified 278 279 fear-avoidance at baseline prior to sustaining an injury so comparisons to similar research cannot be made. The baseline mAFAQ measured players' perceptions of fear-avoidance at the 280 start of the season that may be experienced if they became injured. However, male adolescents' 281 perceptions of their fear-avoidance may differ from their actual fear-avoidance experienced 282 post-injury. Situational factors (level of competition, time in season, playing status, 283 teammate/coach influences, family dynamics or social support), personal factors (player 284 demographics, injury characteristics, injury history, pain tolerance, motivation, athletic 285 identity, social support or mood states) or behavioural and emotional responses (risk-taking 286 behaviours, rehabilitation adherence, tension, anger, depression, grief or emotional coping) can 287 alter the psychological response to injury.¹⁷ The stress-athletic injury model highlights that an 288 athlete who exhibits increased amounts of stress due to their personality, history of stressors or 289 subjective coping resources, may be at increased risk of sustaining an injury.⁴⁰ Therefore, fear-290 avoidance is highly subjective and situationally based so solely implementing baseline 291 screening or post-injury measurement of fear-avoidance may not be useful. Instead clinicians 292

should screen for athletes who show elevated stress levels at the beginning of the season that can increase their risk of injury but also measure fear-avoidance post-injury that may overwhelmingly influence the physical and psychological response to injury that has the potential to hinder rehabilitation.

297 Fear-avoidance prior to return to play

Male adolescent Gaelic footballers experience fear-avoidance and psychological distress when 298 they sustain an injury but following a period of time-loss from Gaelic football participation and 299 rehabilitation, fear-avoidance reduces. Similar trends have been identified in previous research 300 301 with negative emotions of tension, depression, anger, fatigue and confusion shown to decrease from the time of injury evaluation to the point of full recovery.²⁴ This difference could be due 302 to the benefits of rehabilitation and the return to play process, which focuses on returning the 303 athlete to sports participation and their pre-injury level of performance.⁴¹ Meeting 304 rehabilitation goals and successfully improving the components of performance, such as 305 strength, flexibility and proprioception, could potentially help to reduce fear-avoidance by 306 307 improving confidence in the injured body part. However, only four time-loss injuries were observed so the clinical applicability of this finding is limited. A clearer image of fear-308 avoidance in participants who sustain a time-loss injury may be evident with a greater number 309 of time-loss injuries. 310

311 *Limitations*

A substantially low number of time-loss injuries were observed in this study, which may be due to the short season over which data was collected. The small number of time-loss injuries may impact the ability of this study to examine time-loss and its relationship to fear-avoidance. Furthermore, injury history was only determined for injuries sustained by participants in the previous 12 months in order to reduce the effects of recall bias. However, previous injury is a risk factor for re-injury and its relationship with the extent of fear-avoidance at baseline and

318 following injury may be different when examined over a longer period. In addition, this study failed to account for the occurrence of serious traumatic injury occurring greater than 12 319 months previous requiring surgical repair and substantial rehabilitation, despite the fact that 320 these injuries may still insight increased levels of fear greater than 12 months post-injury. 321 However, the incidence of sports injuries in youth participants requiring operative treatment is 322 expected to be low with only 8.8% of sports injuries presenting to paediatric hospitals requiring 323 surgery⁴² and 6.7% of adolescents requiring surgery due to a Gaelic football injury.¹ In 324 addition, this study solely examined fear-avoidance in male adolescent Gaelic footballers, 325 which makes it difficult to apply the findings to collegiate and elite players or female Gaelic 326 footballers. Future research should examine fear-avoidance and the psychological reaction to 327 musculoskeletal injury across Gaelic football populations in a larger cohort of male adolescents 328 329 and across a number of seasons.

Conclusions

330

Fear-avoidance is evident in male adolescent Gaelic footballers comparable to levels 331 experienced by injured collegiate and adult athletes. Fear-avoidance post-injury was higher in 332 333 those with greater pain scores. However, baseline measures of fear-avoidance did not predict the likelihood of sustaining an injury over one season, which indicated that fear-avoidance 334 should be examined when a Gaelic footballer sustains an injury. The findings highlight the 335 need for psychological rehabilitation in conjunction with physical rehabilitation in the 336 management of an injured Gaelic footballer and their successful return to sport. Awareness of 337 the extent of fear-avoidance in injured players allows clinicians to design an effective 338 rehabilitation plan that can manage both the physical and psychological recovery required and 339 340 may consequently reduce the period of time loss from participation.

341		References
342	1.	O'Connor S, McCaffrey N, Whyte EF, Moran KA. Epidemiology of injury in male
343		adolescent Gaelic games. J Sci Med Sport. 2016;19(5):384-388. doi:
344		10.1016/j.jsams.2015.06.002
345	2.	Murphy MH, Rowe DA, Woods CB. Impact of physical activity domains on subsequent
346		physical activity in youth: a 5-year longitudinal study. J Sports Sci. 2017;35(3):262-268.
347		doi: 10.1080/02640414.2016.1161219
348	3.	McIntyre MC. A comparison of the physiological profiles of elite Gaelic footballers,
349		hurlers, and soccer players. Br J Sports Med. 2005;39(7):437-439. doi:
350		10.1136/bjsm.2004.013631
351	4.	Murphy JC, O'Malley E, Gissane C, Blake C. Incidence of injury in Gaelic football: a 4-
352		year prospective study. Am J Sports Med. 2012;40(9):2113-2120. doi:
353		10.1177/0363546512455315
354	5.	Caine D, Maffulli N, Caine, C. Epidemiology of injury in child and adolescent sports:
355		injury rates, risk factors, and prevention. Clin Sports Med. 2008;27(1):19-50. doi:
356		10.1016/j.csm.2007.10.008.
357	6.	Thein-Nissenbaum JM, Rauh MJ, Carr KE, Loud KJ, McGuine TA. Associations between
358		disordered eating, menstrual dysfunction, and musculoskeletal injury among high school
359		athletes. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2011;41(2):60-69. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2011.3312
360	7.	Wiese-Bjornstal DM. Psychology and socioculture affect injury risk, response, and
361		recovery in high-intensity athletes: a consensus statement. Scand J Med Sci Sports.
362		2010;20(s2):103-111. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01195.x
363	8.	Masten R, Stražar K, Žilavec I, Tušak M, Kandare M. Psychological response of athletes
364		to injury. Kinesiology. 2014;46(1):127-134.

- 365 9. Saez De Heredia, RA, Munoz, AR, Artaza, JL. The effect of psychological response on
 366 recovery of sport injury. *Res Sports Med.* 2004;12(1):15-31. doi:
 367 10.1080/15438620490280567
- 10. Lu FJ, Hsu Y. Injured athletes' rehabilitation beliefs and subjective well-being: The
 contribution of hope and social support. *J Athl Train*. 2013;48(1):92-98. doi:
 10.4085/1062-6050-48.1.03.
- 11. Pearson L, Jones G. Emotional effects of sports injuries: Implications for physiotherapists.
 Physiotherapy. 1992;78(10):762-770. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9406(10)61642-2
- 12. Putukian M. The psychological response to injury in student athletes: a narrative review
 with a focus on mental health. *Br J Sports Med.* 2016;50(3):145-148. doi: 10.1136/bjsports2015-095586
- 13. Leddy MH, Lambert MJ, Ogles BM. Psychological consequences of athletic injury among
 high-level competitors. *Res Q Exercise Sport*. 1994;65(4):347-354. doi:
 10.1080/02701367.1994.10607639
- 14. Meeuwisse WH, Tyreman H, Hagel B, Emery C. A dynamic model of etiology in sport
 injury: the recursive nature of risk and causation. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2007;17(3):215-219.
 doi: 10.1097/JSM.0b013e3180592a48
- 15. Caine D, Maffulli N, Caine C. Epidemiology of injury in child and adolescent sports: injury
 rates, risk factors, and prevention. *Clin Sports Med.* 2008;27(1):19-50. doi:
 10.1016/j.csm.2007.10.008
- 385 16. Gouttebarge V, Tol JL, Kerkhoffs GM. Epidemiology of symptoms of common mental
 386 disorders among elite Gaelic athletes: a prospective cohort study. *Phys Sportsmed*.
- 2016;44(3):283-289. doi: 10.1080/00913847.2016.1185385

- 17. Wiese-Bjornstal DM, Smith AM, Shaffer SM, Morrey MA. An integrated model of
 response to sport injury: Psychological and sociological dynamics. *J Appl Sport Psychol.*1998;10(1):46-69. doi: 10.1080/1041320980840637
- 18. Vlaeyen JW, Linton SJ. Fear-avoidance and its consequences in chronic musculoskeletal
- pain: a state of the art. *Pain*. 2000;85(3):317-332. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3959(99)00242-0
- 19. Dover G, Amar V. Development and validation of the athlete fear avoidance questionnaire.

394 *J Athl Train.* 2015;50(6):634-642. doi: 10.4085/1062-6050-49.3.75

- 20. Leeuw M, Goossens ME, Linton SJ, Crombez G, Boersma K, Vlaeyen JW. The fear-
- avoidance model of musculoskeletal pain: current state of scientific evidence. *J Behav Med.*
- 397 2007;30(1):77-94. doi: 10.1007/s10865-006-9085-0
- 21. Tripp DA, Stanish W, Ebel-Lam A, Brewer BW, Birchard J. Fear of re-injury, negative
 affect, and catastrophizing predicting return to sport in recreational athletes with anterior
 cruciate ligament injuries at 1 year post-surgery. *Rehabil Psychol.* 2007;52(1):74-81. doi:
 10.1037/2157-3905.1.S.38
- 22. Sullivan MJL, Tripp DA, Rodgers WM, Stanish W. Catastrophizing and pain perception in
 sport participants. *J Appl Sport Psychol.* 2000;12(2):151-167. doi:
 10.1080/10413200008404220
- 23. Waddell G, Newton M, Henderson I, Somerville D, Main CJ. A Fear- Avoidance Beliefs
 Questionnaire (FABQ) and the role of fear avoidance beliefs in chronic low back pain and
 disability. *Pain*. 1993;52(2):157–168. doi: 10.1016/0304-3959(93)90127-B
- 408 24. Quinn AM, Fallon BJ. The changes in psychological characteristics and reactions of elite
- 409 athletes from injury onset until full recovery. *J Appl Sport Psychol*. 1999;11(2):210-229.
- 410 doi: 10.1080/10413209908404201

- 411 25. Walker N, Thatcher J, Lavallee D, Golby J. The emotional response to athletic injury: re-
- 412 injury anxiety. In: Lavallee D, Jones MV, Thatcher J (ed.). *Coping and emotion in sport*413 (pp.87-99). New York: Nova Science; 2004.
- 414 26. Appaneal RN, Levine BR, Perna FM, Roh JL. Measuring postinjury depression among
- 415 male and female competitive athletes. J Sport Exerc Psychol. 2009;31(1):60-76. doi:

416 10.1123/jsep.31.1.60

- 417 27. Hughes L, Hassan D. Wearing their chains willingly: Athlete burnout and the case of
 418 adolescent Gaelic footballers in Ireland. *Int Rev Sociol Sport*. 2017;52(7):839-857. doi:
- 419 10.1177/1012690215618672
- 420 28. Hinton PR, McMurray I, Brownlow C. SPSS explained. Routledge Inc.; East Sussex,
 421 England; 2004.
- 422 29. Haefeli M, Elfering A. Pain assessment. *Eur Spine J.* 2006;15(1):S17-S24. doi:
 423 10.1007/s00586-005-1044-x
- 424 30. Gabbe BJ, Finch CF, Bennell KL, Wajswelner H. How valid is a self-reported 12-month
- 425 sports injury history? Br J Sports Med. 2003;37(6):545-547. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.37.6.545
- 426 31. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd Ed.). Hillsdale, NJ:
- 427 Lawrence Earlbaum Associates; 1988.
- 428 32. Evans JD. Straightforward Statistics for the Behavioural Sciences. Brooks/Cole
 429 Publishing; Pacific Grove, California; 1996.
- 430 33. Miles J. R squared, adjusted R squared. 2014; Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online.
- 431 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/9781118445112.stat06627
- 432 34. Fischerauer SF, Talaei-Khoei M, Bexkens R, Ring DC, Oh LS, Vranceanu AM. What Is
- 433 the Relationship of Fear Avoidance to Physical Function and Pain Intensity in Injured
- 434 Athletes? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2018;476(4):754-763. doi:
- 435 10.1007/s11999.00000000000085

- 35. Bhatt NG, Sheth MS and Vyas NJ. Correlation of fear avoidance beliefs with pain and
 physical function in subjects with osteoarthritis of knee (OA knee). *Int J Rehabil Res.*2015;4(4):117-121. doi: 10.5455/ijtrr.00000076
- 439 36. Fritz JM, George SZ, Delitto A. The role of fear-avoidance beliefs in acute low-back pain:
- relationships with current and future disability and work status. *Pain.* 2001;94(1):7-15. doi:
- 441 10.1016/S0304-3959(01)00333-5
- 442 37. Gheldof EL, Crombez G, Bussche E, Vinck J, Nieuwenhuyse A, Moens G, Mairiaux P,
- 443 Vlaeyen JW. Pain-related fear predicts disability, but not pain severity: A path analytic
- 444 approach of the fear-avoidance model. *Eur J Pain*. 2010;14(8):870-e1. doi:
 445 10.1016/j.ejpain.2010.01.003
- 38. Crossman J. Psychological rehabilitation from sports injuries. *Sports Med.* 1997;23(5):333339. doi: 10.2165/00007256-199723050-00005
- 39. Lethem J, Slade PD, Troup JDG, Bentley G. Outline of a fear-avoidance model of
 exaggerated pain perception—I. *Behav Res Ther*. 1983;21(4):401-408. doi: 10.1016/00057967(83)90009-8
- 40. Williams JM, Andersen MB. Psychosocial antecedents of sport injury: Review and critique
- 452 of the stress and injury model. J Appl Sport Psychol. 1998;10(1):5-25. doi:
 453 10.1080/10413209808406375
- 454 41. Brukner P. Brukner & Khan's clinical sports medicine. North Ryde: McGraw-Hill; 2012.
- 455 42. O'Toole P, Butt A, Orakzai S, McIntyre A, Callender O, Kingston R, Moore D, Fogarty E,
- 456 Dowling F. Epidemiology of sporting and recreational injuries in a paediatric orthopaedic
- 457 outpatients department. *Ir Med J.* 2008;101(6):173-174.